

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/835,884	04/16/2001	Arthur H. Sarkissian	4549	
75	590 04/11/2005		EXAM	INER
Arthur H. Sarl	kissian		LAO, L	UN YI
P.O. Box 763 New York, NY	7 10268		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2673	
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

١	N	
•	, -	

ď

Application No. Appl

Applicant(s)

Advisory Action

09/835,884	Arthur Sarkissian		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lun-yi Lao	2673		

The MARINIC DATE of this communication appears an the cover sheet with the correspondence address - THE REPLY FILED Jun 12, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandorment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filled based and the places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed bactice of Appeal (with appeal feet) or (3) a timely filed Nequest for Continued Examination (RCCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY (check only a) or b)] The period for reply expires 5 months from the making date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the maling date of the Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection. SNE CHECK THIS 80X WHEN THE REPLY MARE FILED WITHIN TOWN MONTHS for the Hank REJECTION. See MFE? 706.07(f). Extensions of a time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the apprepriate extraosion fas have been filed is the date for purposes of datarnising the period of activation and the corresponding amount of the fas. The will be designed to the filed rejection, even if simply filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.764(b). A Notice of Appeal was filed on					Lun-yi Lao	2673
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid the abandomment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.130 any only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. THE PERIOD FOR REPLY (check only a) or b) The period for reply expires		- The I	MAILING DATE	of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	
a) ☑ The period for reply expires 5	There reject allow	efore, furth tion under ance; (2)	ner action by the 37 CFR 1.113 a timely filed No	e applicant is required to av may only be either: (1) a tin otice of Appeal (with appeal	oid the abandonment of this appl nely filed amendment which plac	ication. A proper reply to a final es the application in condition for
The period for reply expires on: [1] the mailing date of this Advicory Action, or [2] the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later, in no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIM MONTHS from the motion of the period o		•		THE PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check only a) or b)}	
is later, in no avent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(i). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.138(a) is calculated from: 1) the asystem date of the inchrence feature of or reply originally mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). The mailing date of the final rejection is the filed that the proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ② they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ② they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification in c	a)	The p	eriod for reply exp	ires <u>5</u> months from the	ne mailing date of the final rejection.	
extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate stension fee under 37 CFR 1.7[4] is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely field, may reduce any extensived by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely field, may reduce any extensive by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely field, may reduce any extensive any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.791(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2.		is late final re See M	r. In no event, hove ejection. ONLY Ch IPEP 706.07(f).	wever, will the statutory period f HECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRS	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS TREPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO M	6 from the mailing date of the ONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially wesher-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	ex ap	ctension fee opropriate ex of in the fina	have been filed is ctension fee under I Office action: or	the date for purposes of determ 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated fro (2) as set forth in (b) above, if c	ining the period of extension and the c om: (1) the expiration date of the short hecked. Any reply received by the Of	corresponding amount of the fee. The tened statutory period for reply originally fice later than three months after the
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification 3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4.☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	1.□	A Notice 37 CFR	e of Appeal was 1.192(a), or an	s filed on	Appellant's Brief must be file R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of	d within the period set forth in the appeal.
(b) □ they raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) □ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	2. 🕱	The prop	posed amendme	ent(s) will not be entered be	cause:	
(c) \(\text{\text{\text{they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) \(\text{\text{the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification \[\text{NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification \] \[\text{Applicant 5 reply has overcome the following rejection(s):} \] \[\text{Would Model	(a)	they i	raise new issue:	s that would require further	consideration and/or search (see	NOTE below);
issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification publicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) \overline{\overline	(b)	they i	raise the issue o	of new matter (see NOTE be	elow);	
NOTE: the new limitations added in claims 20-88 raise new issues. e.g. "key-surround key comprising a non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially circular data entry key". The new specification non-rotatable, substantially key". The new specification non-rotatable entry key". The new specification new specification. The affidavit or exhibit will hot be claim(s) allowable claim(s) will be allowable claim(s) and seed claim(s) allowable claim(s). The affidavit or exhibit will hot be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner by the Examiner in the final rejection. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it	(c)				petter form for appeal by materia	lly reducing or simplifying the
non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key*. The new specification Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcomentally followed to rejection following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcomentally followed to replection following rejection following rejection following reje	(d)	_			a corresponding number of finall	y rejected claims.
non-rotatable, substantially washer-shaped, substantially circular data entry key*. The new specification Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcomentally followed to rejection following rejection(s): Applicant's reply has overcomentally followed to replection following rejection following rejection following reje		NOTE:	the new limitation	ons added in claims 20-88 r	aise new issues. e.g. "key-surrou	und key comprising a
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)	3.□			W Matter. Vercome the following reject	tion(s):	key". The new specification
application in condition for allowance because: the references meet all the limitations cited in claims 20-88(see final rejection). The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ₩ will not be entered or b) ₩ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 0 Claim(s) objected to: 0 Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on	4. 🗆			ended claim(s)	wo	uld be allowable if submitted in
by the Examiner in the final rejection. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 0 Claim(s) objected to: 0 Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: B. The proposed drawing correction filed on	5. 🛭	applicati	on in condition	for allowance because:		sidered but does NOT place the
explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 0 Claim(s) objected to: 0 Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on	6. 🗆				ause it is not directed SOLELY to	issues which were newly raised
Claim(s) allowed: O Claim(s) objected to: O Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on	7. 🛭	For purp explanat	oses of Appeal, ion of how the	, the proposed amendment(s new or amended claims wo	s) a) W will not be entered or b) will not be entered or b) will be rejected is provided below	will be entered and an or appended.
Claim(s) objected to: 0 Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: B. The proposed drawing correction filed on		The stat	us of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	·	
Claim(s) rejected: 20-88 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: B. The proposed drawing correction filed on Jun 12, 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). C. Charter Statement		Claim(s)	allowed: O			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The proposed drawing correction filed on Jun 12, 2003 is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). O.D. Other: Inture Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER						
The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>Jun 12, 2003</u> is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). O.D. Other: Interview Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER		Claim(s)	rejected: <u>20-88</u>	<u>}</u>		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). LUN-YI LAO PRIMARY EXAMINER	. =					
O.D. Other: Interview Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER						A
O.D. Other: Interview Summary PRIMARY EXAMINER	9.□	Note the	attached Inform	mation Disclosure Statemen	t(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· Jun diken
	0.10		Interview	Sunmary	·	LÜN-YI LAÖ

1